

Revised Support Material for Agenda Item No. 3

General Policy Committee Meeting

December 10, 2014
9:00 AM

Location:
SANBAG
Super Chief Conference Room
1170 W. 3rd Street, San Bernardino, CA 92410

Consent Calendar

Administrative Matters

3. Budget Fiscal Year 2015/2016 Assessment Dues

That the General Policy Committee recommend the Board adopt San Bernardino Associated Government's Fiscal Year 2015/2016 Assessment Dues.

Hilda Flores

The support material for this item was re-printed to include the Variance column which was inadvertently cut off.

**San Bernardino Associated Governments
General Assessment Dues Calculation
Fiscal Year 2015/2016**

<u>JURISDICTION:</u>	<u>POP.</u> <u>2014</u>	<u>% OF</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>POP.</u>	<u>ASSESSED VALUE</u> <u>BEFORE RDA</u> <u>2014/2015</u>	<u>% OF</u> <u>TOTAL</u> <u>VALUE</u>	<u>AVG. %</u> <u>POP. &</u> <u>VALUE</u>	<u>FY2015</u> <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>FY2016</u> <u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>VAR.</u>
Adelanto	32,511	1.559%	\$1,658,036,857	0.928%	1.244%	1,263	1,305	\$42
Apple Valley	70,755	3.392%	\$4,836,931,536	2.708%	3.050%	3,099	3,201	\$102
Barstow	23,292	1.117%	\$1,155,685,689	0.647%	0.882%	896	926	\$30
Big Bear Lake	5,121	0.246%	\$3,006,682,566	1.683%	0.964%	980	1,012	\$32
Chino	81,747	3.919%	\$9,880,538,036	5.532%	4.726%	4,801	4,959	\$158
Chino Hills	76,131	3.650%	\$9,956,263,319	5.574%	4.612%	4,686	4,841	\$155
Colton	53,057	2.544%	\$2,793,113,366	1.564%	2.054%	2,087	2,155	\$68
Fontana	202,177	9.694%	\$15,224,456,851	8.523%	9.109%	9,254	9,560	\$306
Grand Terrace	12,285	0.589%	\$833,974,859	0.467%	0.528%	536	554	\$18
Hesperia	91,506	4.387%	\$4,722,050,423	2.644%	3.516%	3,571	3,689	\$118
Highland	54,033	2.591%	\$2,966,566,900	1.661%	2.126%	2,160	2,231	\$71
Loma Linda	23,614	1.132%	\$1,720,047,720	0.963%	1.048%	1,064	1,099	\$35
Montclair	37,374	1.792%	\$2,719,869,503	1.523%	1.657%	1,684	1,739	\$55
Needles	4,908	0.235%	\$305,520,741	0.171%	0.203%	206	213	\$7
Ontario	167,382	8.025%	\$19,940,211,880	11.164%	9.594%	9,747	10,069	\$322
Rancho Cucamonga	172,299	8.261%	\$21,638,319,445	12.114%	10.188%	10,350	10,692	\$342
Redlands	69,882	3.351%	\$7,958,596,314	4.456%	3.903%	3,965	4,096	\$131
Rialto	101,429	4.863%	\$6,451,926,487	3.612%	4.238%	4,305	4,447	\$142
San Bernardino	212,721	10.199%	\$11,298,116,184	6.325%	8.262%	8,394	8,671	\$277
Twentynine Palms	26,576	1.274%	\$823,614,359	0.461%	0.868%	881	911	\$30
Upland	75,147	3.603%	\$7,682,100,008	4.301%	3.952%	4,015	4,147	\$132
Victorville	120,590	5.782%	\$7,268,021,655	4.069%	4.925%	5,004	5,169	\$165
Yucaipa	52,654	2.525%	\$3,701,079,127	2.072%	2.298%	2,335	2,412	\$77
Yucca Valley	21,053	1.009%	\$1,429,719,145	0.800%	0.905%	919	950	\$31
County	297,425	14.260%	\$28,646,389,447	16.038%	15.149%	15,390	15,898	\$508
<hr/>								
	2,085,669	100.000%	178,617,832,417	100.00%	100.00%	101,592	104,946	3,354

NOTES:

- 1) Population Source: Most recent Measure I population data, which is the Department of Finance estimate as of January 1 reconciled to the total population for San Bernardino County.
- 2) Net Assessed Value Source: Property Tax Section, County Auditor/Controller, 2014/2015.
- 3) These calculations are based on the most recent data received from the County of San Bernardino.
- 4) Assessed valuation of jurisdiction includes properties within redevelopment areas.